

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
State Innovation Model
Health Information Technology (HIT) Council
Technology Pilot Oversight Design Team
Meeting Summary
Thursday, October 1, 2015
11:00am-Noon

Location: By Conference Call and WebEx

Members Present: Jessica DeFlumer-Trapp; Anthony Dias; Amanda Skinner; Sheryl Turney

Other Participants: Patricia Checko for Tiffany Donelson; Ian Goldsweig; Nana Kittiphane; Michelle Moratti; Brenda Shipley; Minakshi Tikoo

Agenda Items:

- 1. Introductions**
- 2. Meeting Objectives**
- 3. Charter Update**
- 4. Proposed Timeline**
- 5. Goals of the Pilot**
- 6. Pilot Methodology**
- 7. Next Steps**

Meeting Summary:

Ian Goldsweig and Michelle Moratti of The Chartis Group facilitated a group discussion. Design Team participants articulated a number of perspectives, including:

The Technology Pilot Oversight Design Team Charter

- Participants discussed items 11, “What is the cost of the end-user and the pilot participants to be able to participate with the selected vendor?” 12, “What will it cost to get providers in a position where they can operate with the system?” and 13, “What will be the long-term cost for those providers if the selected vendor’s technology is chosen for the long-term solution?”
 - Participants questioned if Charter items 11, 12, and 13 were repetitive but ultimately decided each was distinct.

Proposed Timeline and Deliverables

- Participants approved of the high level roadmap for the Design Team’s work.
- Participants questioned the ability to solicit participation from organizations in the short time frame proposed.
 - Ms. Moratti said the PMO is looking at the bounds and needs of the process and whether or not it can be accomplished in the anticipated timeframe.
 - Design Team members questioned the time and additional cost it would take pilot participants to enter into the pilot. Additional organizational requirements, such as a BAA agreement, would also add to the timeframe.
 - Design Team members suggested work (such as outreach to the providers and Zato) be done concurrently with the Design Team meetings to meet the January 18th deliverable.

Goals of the Pilot

- Participants suggested more breakdowns of the proposed quality metrics be used if the goal is to fully test the limits of the technology, including equity and access considerations.
- Participants supported using the existing contracts SIM has with organizations to determine participants in the pilot.
- Participants asked if the group will see a demonstration of the Zato technology.

Next Steps

- Facilitators agreed to send a template of technology evaluation criteria to the Design Team.